Mihama Units 1 & 2
Radiological Management of System Decontamination for Decommissioning

Radiological Unit, Radiation Management Section,
Mihama Nuclear Power Station
The Kansai Electric Power Corporation, Inc.
October 25, 2018
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Overview of KEPCO’s Nuclear Power Plants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Outline of Decommissioning Process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>System Decontamination Work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Radiological Management during System Decontamination Work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. Overview of KEPCO’s Nuclear Power Plants
Overview of KEPCO’s Nuclear Power Plants

Total power output decreases from 9,768MW to 6,578MW following decommissioning of Mihama 1/2 and Ohi 1/2.

- Approx. 2000 employees are working for nuclear power generation in Fukui prefecture (About 40% of the employees have originated from Fukui prefecture).

### Nuclear Power Division

- Takahama NPS
  - Unit Gross output (MW)
  - Date put into service
  - Unit Gross output (MW)
  - Date put into service
  - Total 3,392

- Ohi NPS
  - Unit Gross output (MW)
  - Date put into service
  - Unit Gross output (MW)
  - Date put into service
  - Total 2,360

- Mihama NPS
  - Unit Gross output (MW)
  - Date put into service
  - Unit Gross output (MW)
  - Date put into service
  - Total 826

- Nuclear Power Division
  - Nuclear Power Division
  - Community Relations Division

- Takahama NPS
  - Mihama NPS

- Mihama unit 1 started commercial operation in November 1970 as Japan’s first PWR.

- Nuclear Training Center
  - Mihama NPP generated electricity (capacity factor)
    - FY2010: 12.12billion kWh (83.0%)
    - FY2011: 3.89billion kWh (26.6%)
    - FY2012~: 0.0 kWh (0.0%)
2. Outline of Decommissioning Process
Flow of Decommissioning of Mihama 1 & 2

- Mihama unit 1
  In a long-term shutdown state since November 2010 (Fuel was unloaded in February 2013 although the unit was in a standby condition for restart with the fuel loaded in the core.)
- Mihama unit 2
  In a long-term shutdown condition since December 2011 (Fuel was unloaded in January 2012 when the unit underwent the periodic inspection.)

June 28, 2010  Mihama 1 received regulatory approval for changes in the Tech. Spec. associated with its long-term maintenance management policy.

March 11, 2011  East Japan Great Earthquake (Fukushima Daiichi accident)

July 28, 2012  Mihama unit 2 received regulatory approval for changes in the Tech. Spec. associated with its long-term maintenance management policy. <confirming the plant integrity at the 60th year of operation by conducting aging evaluation>

July 8, 2013  The new regulatory requirements were put into effect:
  - Enhancement of design basis to prevent severe accidents from occurring
  - Introduction of new criteria to address severe accidents and terrorist attacks

March 17, 2015  A decision was made on decommissioning of Mihama 1&2.

April 19, 2017  Mihama 1&2 decommissioning plans were approved.
The entire decommissioning process (approx. 30 years) is divided into 4 phases to promote the project in a step-wise manner. The decommissioning process shall be pursued in a steady manner based on the basic policies (① putting top priority to safety, ② reducing radiation doses and radioactive waste, and ③ maintaining/managing safeguard functions).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preparatory work</th>
<th>Dismantling/removal of peripheral facilities</th>
<th>Dismantling/removal of reactor region</th>
<th>Dismantling/removal of buildings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017〜2021</td>
<td>2022〜2035</td>
<td>2036〜2041</td>
<td>2042〜2045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>System Decon.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Survey of residual radioactivity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Removal of nuclear fuel</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dismantling/removal of secondary system facilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dismantling/removal of peripheral facilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Safe storage</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decontamination of equipment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disposal of radioactive waste</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mihama 1 & 2 Decommissioning Plan ②

① Preparatory work (FY2017 (after regulatory approval)〜FY2021)
   - System decontamination
   - Survey of residual radioactivity inside facilities
   - Removal of fresh fuel
   - Safe storage (・Maintenance & management of facilities)

Work activity
   - Chemical decontamination to remove radioactive material attached on system surface
   - Clarify radioactivity distributions inside facilities
   - Bring 108 fresh fuel assemblies out of the facilities
   - Disassemble 2 turbines, a condenser and other components

② Dismantling/removal of peripheral facilities (FY2022〜2035)
   - Dismantling/removal of peripheral facilities
   - Removal of spent fuel
   - Dismantling/removal of secondary system facilities (continuously from stage ①)
   - Safe storage (・Maintenance & management of facilities)

Work activity
   - Sequentially dismantling primary system facilities excluding those to be maintained
   - Bring 741 spent fuel assemblies out of SFP
   - Dismantle secondary system facilities which were not subjected to stage ①

③ Dismantling/removal of reactor region (FY2036〜2041)
   - Dismantling/removal of reactor region
   - Dismantling/removal of secondary system facilities
   - Dismantling/removal of peripheral facilities (continuously from stage ②)
   - Safe storage (・Maintenance & management of facilities)

Work activity
   - Dismantle RPV and core internals mainly those having high radioactivity
   - Dismantle primary system facilities which were not subjected to stage ①
   - Dismantle secondary system facilities which were not subjected to stages ① and ②

④ Dismantling/removal of buildings (FY2042〜2045)
   - Cancellation of controlled areas
   - Dismantling/removal of buildings

Work activity
   - Dismantle buildings from which all radioactive material has been removed
Scope of Decommissioning Work in Coming Years

- For the primary system, system decontamination, radioactivity survey and removal of fresh fuel will be performed (work other than dismantling).
- For the secondary system, dismantling of components inside the turbine building will be performed.

**Primary system**

1. System decontamination
2. Radioactivity survey inside facilities
3. Removal of fresh fuel

**Secondary system**

3. Dismantling of components inside turbine building (secondary system facilities)
## Decommissioning Work Schedule in Coming 3 FYs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work activity</th>
<th>FY2017</th>
<th>FY2018</th>
<th>FY2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>① System decontamination</strong></td>
<td>Preparatory work (Improvement of existing piping geometries, etc.)</td>
<td>Decontamination cleanup</td>
<td>At this point of time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>② Radioactivity survey</strong></td>
<td>Radioactivity measurement, sampling, analysis and evaluation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>③ Dismantling of turbine equipment</strong></td>
<td>Dismantling and removal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>④ Removal of fresh fuel</strong></td>
<td>Removal and transportation (under study)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### System decontamination

- **April 2017~**

### Radioactivity survey

- **latter half of FY2017~**

### Dismantling of turbine building components

- **latter half of FY2017~**

※The photo shows HEX replacement.

○In FY2017, system decontamination work was conducted to reduce exposure doses received by workers during dismantling work.
○In FY2018, radiological survey and dismantling of components inside the turbine building are being performed following system decontamination.
3. System Decontamination Work
Summary

1. Objectives of system decontamination

   It is necessary to decontaminate the relevant systems before the start of dismantling work in order to;
   ① improve the working environment during dismantling (reduction of radiation exposure, lighter radiological protection outfits)
   ② reduce the amount of radioactive solid waste

   Shortening of the dismantling process can be also expected as a secondary effect.

   Package system decontamination utilizing existing components, such as pumps and heat exchangers, was introduced taking into account overseas experience.

   Decontaminating individual components is irrational considering the radiation exposure, and time and effort. It is reasonable to perform package system decontamination in the early stage when the integrity of existing system components is still maintained.

2. Selection of systems

   The target systems are RCS, CVCS and RHR system whose inner surface is in contact with reactor coolant and thus contaminated with residual radioactive material (the systems in service during plant operation).

3. Target of decontamination

   The target decontamination factor (DF) is set at 30 taking into account both advantages and disadvantages associated with decontamination.

   \[
   DF = \frac{\text{Dose equivalent rate (before system decon.)}}{\text{Dose equivalent rate (after system decon.)}}
   \]
Methodology of System Decontamination

Chemical Cleaning: CORD method

One cycle consisting of oxidation, decontamination, decomposition and purification is repeated to dissolve inner crud and remove it.

**Principle of CORD process**

1. **Oxidation process**: Oxidize Cr$^{3+}$ with MnO$_4^-$ (permanganate ion) ($\text{Cr}^{3+}\rightarrow\text{Cr}^{6+}$)

2. **Decontamination process**: Dissolve MnO$_4^-$ and MnO$_2$ (manganese dioxide) with trace oxalic acid to collect Ni$^+$ as cation ion. Dissolve and decompose Fe$^{3+/2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$ and Co$^{2+}$ with oxalic aid.

3. **Decomposition process**: Inject hydrogen peroxide and dissolve oxalic acid with ultraviolet rays.

4. **Purification process**: Purify decomposed radioactive nuclides, metals and chemicals with ion exchange resin.
Mechanism of system decontamination

After connecting a temporary decontamination system to the target system, inside of which a lot of radioactive materials remain (RCS, RCV, CVCS, RHRS, etc.), decontamination agent added with chemicals is circulated the system and radioactive materials are removed by ion exchange resin inside the decontamination system.

[System diagram]
Configuration of Temporary Decontamination System

AMDA:
(Automatic Mobile Decontamination Appliance)
Temporary Decontamination System Installed in Unit 1

Decontamination system installed at EL.10M

Flange connection of system piping

Installation of temporary floor (upper cavity)

(System configuration)

1. Depressurizer
2. Bag filter
3. UV decomposition system
4. Surge tank
5. HP pump
6. Chemical injection system
7. Purification pump
8. Ion exchange resin
9. Resin catcher
Actual System Decontamination Record in Mihama 1

(1) Decontamination schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>1st</th>
<th>2nd</th>
<th>3rd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st August</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1st cycle 2nd cycle 3rd cycle

※1 RCS temperature: 95℃ ※2 RCS temperature: 125℃

(2) Measures doses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Zn</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SG tube</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SG shell</td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCS pipe, etc.</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(3) Removed metal (kg)※

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Cation resin</th>
<th>Anion resin</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cation resin</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>5.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anion resin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

※Aprox.

The target DF of 30 was achieved.
Actual System Decontamination Record in Mihama 2

(1) Decontamination schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st cycle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd cycle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd cycle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th cycle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

※1 RCS temperature 95℃
※2 RCS temperature: 125℃

(2) Measured doses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Decontamination factor (average)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SG tube</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SG shell</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCS pipe, etc.</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(3) Removed metal (kg)※

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Zn</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>55</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

※ approx.

(4) Spent resin (m³)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cation resin</th>
<th>Anion resin</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>8.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The target DF of 30 was achieved.
4. Radiological Management during System Decontamination Work
○ Outline
An effort was made to control doses to be received by workers during the period of system decontamination by setting the following targets.

【Mihama unit 1】

1. Reduction of exposures
   (1) Total dose from planned exposure
      (unit: man/Sv)
      \[
      \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
      \hline
      \text{Planned dose} & \text{Actual dose} & \text{Increase/decrease (\%)} \\ 
      0.31 & 0.105 & -66 \\ 
      \hline
      \end{array}
      \]

   (2) Individual dose
      (unit: mSv)
      \[
      \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
      \hline
      \text{Daily (planned/actual)} & \text{Throughout the period (planned/actual)} \\ 
      3.00 / 0.73 & 9.00 / 1.89 \\ 
      \hline
      \end{array}
      \]

2. Protection of physical contamination

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\text{Item} & \text{Target} & \text{Actual} & \text{Remarks} \\ 
\hline
\text{Internal exposure} & 0 & 0 & - \\ 
\hline
\text{Whole body monitor alarm sounding ratio} & 0.015\% & 0.011\% & \text{The target was set referring to past periodic outages.} \\ 
\hline
\end{array}
\]
Results of Radiological Management ②

【Mihama unit 2】

1. Reduction of exposures
   (1) Total dose from planned exposure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Planned dose</th>
<th>Actual dose</th>
<th>Increase/decrease (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>-32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2) Individual dose

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Daily (planned/actual)</th>
<th>Throughout the period (planned/actual)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.90 / 0.73</td>
<td>5.00 / 0.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Protection of physical contamination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Internal exposure</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole body monitor alarm sounding ratio</td>
<td>0.011%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>The target is the actual value of unit 1.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
【For both units 1 and 2】

1. Reduction of radioactive waste

(1) Radioactive solid waste

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Spent resin (m³) (planned/actual)</th>
<th>Bag filter (piece)※1 (planned/actual)</th>
<th>Water filter※2 (piece)※1 (planned/actual)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cation ion</td>
<td>8.7/11.90</td>
<td>19/12</td>
<td>17/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anion ion</td>
<td>1.7/2.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

※1: contained in a drum shielded with 10cm thick concrete shielding
※2: Water filters refer to the coolant filter and seal water filter.

(2) Radioactive gaseous waste

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Planned release</th>
<th>Actual release</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N D</td>
<td>N D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

System decontamination work at Mihama units 1&2 has been successfully completed with no problems of radiological management.
### Installation of temporary shielding

Temporary shielding sheets were installed in front of the operation center and around the workplace to reduce the dose equivalent rate considering the effects of spent resin transferred from the resin column during the system decontamination work. Main locations of temporary shielding are shown below (at unit 1):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EL inside CV</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Number of shielding sheets</th>
<th>Dose equivalent rate (mSv/h)</th>
<th>Reduction (%)</th>
<th>Photo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Before install.</td>
<td>After install.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+4.0m</td>
<td>Above grating</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In front of operation center</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.15m</td>
<td>Around grating</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>-85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Location of liquid waste sampling</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6.15m</td>
<td>Passage</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Location of local valve operation</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- : No records before installation to calculate the reduction ratio
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Image</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>①</td>
<td>Above grating at EL 4.0m</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>②</td>
<td>In front of operation center at EL 4.0m</td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>③</td>
<td>Around grating at EL-2.15m</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>④</td>
<td>Sampling location at EL-2.15m</td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⑤</td>
<td>Passage at EL-6.15m</td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⑥</td>
<td>Location of local valve operation at EL-6.15m</td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reduction of Exposures (Well-Established Access Control)

- Designation of areas subject to special measures

The areas subject to the special measures, which are specified in the Tech. Spec., were designated in advance and access control was performed by marking with signs and using ropes to prevent other workers than those authorized by the manager of radiation control will not enter into those areas without reason considering potential increase in the dose equivalent rate to above 1 mSv/h due to system decontamination work and transfer of spent resin.

### Signs and message boards

**High Radiation Area**
- Area subject to Special Measures
- Authorized Persons Only

**System Decontamination in Progress**
- Only persons authorized by Radiation Control Manager can enter this area. Wear the designated armband when entering the area
- Mechanical Construction Manager
- Radiological Management Manager

**Resin Transfer in Progress**
- Only persons authorized by Radiation Control Manager can enter this area. Wear the designated armband when entering the area
- Mechanical Construction Manager
- Radiological Management Manager

**Armband**
Major technologies adopted to reduce exposures

1. Adoption of remote operation system
   A remote operation system was adopted to fill bag filters having a high dose equivalent rate (87 mSv/h at the highest) into drums for reducing workers’ exposures.

2. Remote monitoring using cameras
   Cameras were installed at the location where monitoring was necessary, including system decontamination system and spent resin transfer piping, to measure dose equivalent rates remotely for reducing radiation work under a high dose equivalent environment.

Other measures to reduce exposures

1. Utilization of area monitors
   Twenty nine units of temporary area monitors were installed in the workplace and spent resin transfer pipe in advance to measure dose equivalent rates remotely for reducing radiation work under a high dose equivalent environment (measured results in [26].

2. Clear indication of waiting position
   The waiting position in preparation of system decontamination work was designated to clearly show the position with a low dose equivalent rate so that workers could avoid unnecessary exposures during the waiting hours.
Reduction of Exposures (Other Measures ②)

Measurements by area monitor

- Start water supply
- Start decon. process
- Decrease after stopping passage through resin
- Decrease after resin transfer
- Increase after replacement of bag filter

1. (cation resin column at 10cm)
2. (anion resin column at 100cm)
3. (Outer surface of lead shielding on anion tank side)
4. (valve area)
5. (Location of sampling)
6. (A-loop 1F)
7. (A-loop 1F(M))
8. (A-loop 2F)
9. (A-loop 3F)
10. (PrzTop)
11. (B-loop 1F)
12. (B-loop 1F(M))
13. (B-loop 2F)
14. (B-loop 3F)
15. (MHI operation center)
16. (O/F bag F at 1m)
17. (in front of O/F chemical injection tank)
18. (in front of 4m air lock)
19. (temporary seal water injection F at 10cm)
20. (coolant F at 5cm)
21. (B-RHR shell at 10cm)
22. (rear side of O/F bag F shielding (10~20mm))
23. (near A/B -1.26m temporary F)
24. (near WHUT area resin transfer pipe)
25. (C/V -6.15m A-step, near resin transfer line)
26. (No.65 RST inlet pipe surface)

* Decrease after replacement of temporary seal water injection F
* Decrease after replacement of temporary seal water injection F
* Decrease after replacement of temporary seal water injection F
○ Leakage prevention measures

Curing enclosure and drain cut-off weir were installed and hoses were bound firmly to prevent highly concentrated, contaminated water from leaking during system decontamination work for the prevention of physical contamination.

1. Curing enclosure
   Curing enclosure was provided around the pipe connections, including the valve casing, with heat resistant, water proof sheets to prevent contaminated water from spreading in case of leakage.

2. Drain cut-off weir
   Drain cut-off weir was installed using heat resistant, water proof sheets around the facilities, which provided the flow path of circulating chemicals during decontamination work, and major equipment, such as the chemical injection system, to prevent contaminated water from leaking.

3. Biding hoses
   The hose connecting AMDA, which is assembled in the field with joints and thus preliminary pressure tests cannot be performed at shop, is bound firmly besides normal clamping to prevent the hose from coming off.
Prevention of Physical Contamination (Other Measures)

- Appropriate management of contamination control areas
  The location where major equipment was installed was designated as the contamination control area to clearly differentiate it from general areas. Workers were required to change into yellow shoes and wear necessary protective devices, including rubber gloves, before entering the contamination control area to prevent physical contamination and contamination from spreading.

- Wearing of appropriate radiation protection devices
  Workers were required to put on and off predetermined protective devices appropriately to prevent physical contamination since the replacement of bag filters and sampling of decontamination effluent involves with contaminated work.

- Effective use of whole body contamination monitors
  Whole body contamination monitors were installed in front of the airlock at EL 4m in the auxiliary building and in the passage at EL 4m in the auxiliary building respectively to check for physical contamination during work activities as appropriate so that potential contamination of workplace can be immediately identified and necessary countermeasures can be taken in the early stage to improve the working environment and prevent physical contamination.
## Amount of resin used for decontamination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Anion resin</th>
<th>Cation resin</th>
<th>Subtotal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mihama 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st cycle</td>
<td>150 L</td>
<td>300L</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd cycle</td>
<td>300L</td>
<td>3,000L</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd cycle</td>
<td>700L</td>
<td>1,400L</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>1,150L</td>
<td>4,700L</td>
<td>5,850L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mihama 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st cycle</td>
<td>200L</td>
<td>1,400L</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd cycle</td>
<td>400L</td>
<td>1,900L</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd cycle</td>
<td>200L</td>
<td>2,100L</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th cycle</td>
<td>600L</td>
<td>1,800L</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>1,400L</td>
<td>7,200L</td>
<td>8,600L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>2,550L</td>
<td>11,900L</td>
<td>14,450L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total amount of resin used for the system decontamination amounted to 14.45m³, which was 1.4 times greater than expectation of 10.4m³. Such an increase is suspected to have been caused by a greater amount of Fe and Ni dissolved from the oxide film on the inner surface of the system than original expectation, which transferred to the system fluid in the form of oxides.
Gaseous waste
To reduce C-14 arising from the reaction with oxalic acid, which was injected to dissolve the metal (Fe, Ni, Co, etc.) contained in the oxide film on the inner surface of the system, radioactive gaseous waste generated from the system decontamination was diluted using the degassing system at a stage prior to releasing the gas via the auxiliary building vent stack and a temporary gas monitor was installed to enhance radiation monitoring. As a result, radioactivity of released gas was determined to be a non-detectable level (refer to the measurements by temporary gas monitor).
Reduction of Radioactive Waste (Gaseous Waste ②)

Measurements by temporary gas monitor
Results of radiological management efforts made during decontamination work

○ Reduction of exposures
  With no experience of system decontamination, we had thorough preparation including the evaluation of dose equivalent rates in advance. In addition, temporary shielding was installed as much as possible to the necessary locations at unit 1. By referring to the application at unit 1, temporary shielding was installed at unit 2 as efficient as possible. By taking additional measures, including well-established access control, occupational exposures were successfully reduced.

○ Prevention of physical contamination
  Physical contamination and internal exposure was successfully prevented by taking measures to prevent highly concentrated, contaminated water from leaking and designating and managing contamination control areas appropriately.

○ Reduction of radioactive waste
  It is suspected that the amount of resin used for the system decontamination became larger since the amount of dissolved Fe and Ni was greater than expectation. C-14 was diluted before release and a temporary gas monitor was used while releasing gaseous waste. As a result, radioactivity of the released gas was determined to be a non-detectable level.
FIN